

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 DUSTIN GORMLEY, individually and on
9 behalf of all others similarly situated,

10 Plaintiffs,

11 v.
12 NIKE, INC., an Oregon corporation,
13 Defendant.

14
15 ERIKA MCCARTNEY, on behalf of herself
16 and all others similarly situated,

17 Plaintiff,

18 v.
19 NIKE, Inc., an Oregon corporation; and
20 DOES 1 through 20,
21 Defendants.

22 Case No. 11-cv-00893-SI

23 **STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER
TO CONSOLIDATE RELATED CASES AND
TO SET DATES FOR CONSOLIDATED
JOINT SCHEDULING CONFERENCE**

24 Judge: Hon. Susan Illston
Place: Courtroom 10, 19th Floor

25 Trial Date: Not Yet Set

26 Case No. 11-cv-01588-SI

1 Pursuant to Rule 42(a) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, plaintiffs Dustin Gormley
 2 and Erika McCartney and defendant Nike, Inc. (“Nike”) (collectively, “the Parties”), by and
 3 through their respective counsel, jointly submit the following Stipulation that the above-
 4 captioned related actions be consolidated.

5 **RECITALS**

6 **WHEREAS**, the above-captioned cases, *Dustin Gormley v. Nike, Inc.*, Case No. 11-cv-
 7 00893-SI (“Gormley”) and *Erika McCartney v. Nike, Inc., et al.*, Case No. 11-cv-01588-SI
 8 (“McCartney”), have been designated as related cases and assigned to the same judge;

9 **WHEREAS**, Nike filed its response to the *Gormley* complaint on April 22, 2011, and its
 10 response to the *McCartney* First Amended Complaint is due on or before May 23, 2011;

11 **WHEREAS**, under Rule 42(a) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the two cases
 12 warrant consolidation because they involve common questions of law and fact and because
 13 consolidation would advance the interests of judicial economy and efficiency.

14 **STIPULATION**

15 **NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS STIPULATED AND AGREED**, by the Parties, through their
 16 respective counsel of record, as follows:

17 1. Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 42(a), the *Gormley* and *McCartney*
 18 actions should be consolidated. Plaintiff in *McCartney*, however, wishes to maintain the
 19 complaints independent and preserve the separate nature of her action and defenses.

20 2. The Parties will coordinate and jointly meet and confer regarding discovery, class
 21 certification, dispositive motions, and other pretrial issues to avoid inefficiencies and duplicative
 22 efforts. For example, the parties agree judicial economy and efficiency mandate consolidated
 23 discovery and briefing with regard to class certification and dispositive motions.

24 3. In light of the Stipulation, the Case Management Conferences currently set for
 25 June 3, 2011 (*Gormley*) and August 26, 2011 (*McCartney*) should be taken off calendar, and,
 26 pending the Court’s approval of the Stipulation, the Parties should be relieved of all their
 27 obligations in connection with the currently set Case Management Conferences, including
 28 preparation of Rule 26(f) Reports.

4. Regardless of the Court's ruling on the Parties' Stipulation, a joint Case Management Conference should be scheduled for a new date, at least thirty (30) days after Nike files its response to the *McCartney* complaint.

IT IS SO STIPULATED.

Dated: May 11, 2011

COOLEY LLP
MICHELLE C. DOOLIN (179445)
BEATRIZ MEJIA (190948)
JENNIFER M. FRENCH (265422)

/s/Jennifer M. French
Jennifer M. French

Atorneys for Defendant
NIKE, INC.

Dated: May 11, 2011

HOFFMAN & LAZEAR
H. TIM HOFFMAN (049141)
ARTHUR W. LAZEAR (083603)
CHAD A. SAUNDERS (257810)

/s/Chad A. Saunders
Chad A. Saunders

Attorneys for Plaintiff
DUSTIN GORMLEY

Dated: May 11, 2011

PACIFIC JUSTICE CENTER
ROBERT B. HANCOCK (179438)
MELVIN B. PEARLSTON (54291)

/s/Robert B. Hancock
Robert B. Hancock

Attorneys for Plaintiff
ERIKA MCCARTNEY

FILER'S ATTESTATION

Pursuant to General Order No. 45, Section X, Subparagraph B, the undersigned attests that all parties have concurred in the filing of this Stipulation to Consolidate Related Cases and to Set Dates for Consolidated Joint Scheduling Conference.

Dated: May 11, 2011

COOLEY LLP
MICHELLE C. DOOLIN (179445)
BEATRIZ MEJIA (190948)
JENNIFER M. FRENCH (265422)

/s/Jennifer M. French
Jennifer M. French

Attorneys for Defendant
NIKE, INC.

1 [PROPOSED] ORDER

2 Based on the foregoing, and good cause appearing, **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that:

3 1. The following related cases shall be and hereby are consolidated: *Dustin Gormley*
4 *v. Nike, Inc.*, Case No. 11-cv-00893-SI (filed in N.D. Cal. Feb. 24, 2011) and *Erika McCartney v.*
5 *Nike, Inc., et al.*, Case No. 11-cv-01588-SI (removed to N.D. Cal. Apr. 1, 2011).

6 2. The Parties shall coordinate and shall jointly meet and confer regarding discovery,
7 class certification, dispositive motions, and other pretrial issues.

8 3. The Case Management Conferences currently set for June 3, 2011 (*Gormley*) and
9 held on 6/3/11 August 26, 2011 (*McCartney*) shall be ~~taken off calendar, and the Parties are relieved of their~~
10 ~~obligations in connection with these~~ Case Management Conferences. The Court shall issue a
11 new scheduling order for a Joint Case Management Conference.

12 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

13 Dated: 5/18/11



14 THE HONORABLE SUSAN ILLSTON
15 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28